UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

IN RE AUTOMOTIVE PARTS :

Master File No. 2:12-md-02311 Honorable Marianne O. Battani

ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Case No. 2:16-cv-03803

IN RE CERAMIC SUBSTRATES

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: END-PAYOR ACTION

END-FATOR ACTION

ORDER GRANTING END-PAYOR PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT WITH THE CORNING DEFENDANTS AND PROVISIONAL CERTIFICATION OF SETTLEMENT CLASS

Upon consideration of End-Payor Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Proposed Settlement with Defendants Corning International Kabushiki Kaisha and Corning Incorporated (together, the "Corning Defendants") and Provisional Certification of Settlement Class ("Motion"), it is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

- 1. The Motion is hereby **GRANTED**.
- 2. Unless otherwise set forth herein, defined terms in this Order shall have the same meaning ascribed to them in the Settlement Agreement ("Agreement").

Preliminary Approval of Settlement Agreement

3. The Court has analyzed the factors set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("Rule") 23(e)(2) as recently amended. The terms of the Agreement are hereby preliminarily approved, including the release contained therein, as being fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class, subject to a Fairness Hearing.

4. The Court finds that the Agreement was entered into at arm's length by experienced counsel and is sufficiently within the range of reasonableness that notice of the Agreement should be given, pursuant to a plan to be submitted by Settlement Class Counsel and approved by the Court at a later date as provided in this Order.

Class Certification

5. Pursuant to Rule 23, and in light of the proposed settlement, the Court hereby finds that the prerequisites for a class action have been met and provisionally certifies the following class for settlement purposes ("Settlement Class"):

All persons and entities who, from January 1, 1990 through the Execution Date, purchased or leased a new Vehicle in the United States not for resale which included one or more Ceramic Substrate(s) as a component part, or indirectly purchased one or more Ceramic Substrate(s) as a replacement part, which were manufactured or sold by a Defendant, any current or former subsidiary of a Defendant, or any co-conspirator of a Defendant. Excluded from the Settlement Class are Defendants, their parent companies, subsidiaries, and affiliates, any co-conspirators, federal governmental entities and instrumentalities of the federal government, states and their subdivisions, agencies and instrumentalities, and persons who purchased Ceramic Substrates directly or for resale.

Agreement ¶ 11.

6. The Court finds that provisional certification of the Settlement Class is warranted in light of the Agreement because: (a) the Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder is impracticable; (b) End-Payor Plaintiffs' claims present common issues and are typical of the Settlement Class; (c) End-Payor Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Counsel (defined below) will fairly and adequately represent the Settlement Class; and (d) common issues predominate over any individual issues affecting the members of the Settlement Class. The Court further finds that End-Payor Plaintiffs' interests are aligned with the interests of all other members of the Settlement

Class. The Court also finds that settlement of the above-captioned action ("Action") on a class basis is superior to other means of resolving the matter.

Appointment of Settlement Class Counsel

- 7. The Court hereby appoints Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy LLP, Robins Kaplan LLP, and Susman Godfrey L.L.P. as Settlement Class Counsel, having determined that the requirements of Rule 23(g) are fully satisfied by this appointment.
- 8. Each End-Payor Plaintiff class representative named in the operative complaint in the Action will serve as an End-Payor Plaintiff class representative on behalf of the Settlement Class.

Notice to Potential Class Members

- 9. Prior to the Fairness Hearing, Settlement Class Counsel shall provide notice of the Agreement and the Fairness Hearing to all persons affected by and/or entitled to participate in the Agreement in compliance with the notice requirements of Rule 23 and due process of law. Such means of providing notice will be addressed in a subsequent order following submission by End-Payor Plaintiffs at a later date of a proposal for notice to the Settlement Class and related forms for notice, claims and distribution ("Notice Motion").
- 10. The Notice Motion shall include a proposed form of, method for, and date of dissemination of notice and the date on which the notice is mailed shall be the "Notice Date."

Other Provisions

- 11. As more fully set forth in the Agreement, the Corning Defendants agree to cooperate with End-Payor Plaintiffs in connection with the continued prosecution of the Action.
- 12. In the event that the Agreement is terminated in accordance with its provisions, the Agreement and all proceedings had in connection therewith shall be null and void, except insofar as expressly provided to the contrary in the Agreement, and without prejudice to the status quo

3

Case 2:16-cv-03803-MOB-MKM ECF No. 121 filed 04/23/19 PageID.6464 Page 4 of 4

and rights of End-Payor Plaintiffs, the Corning Defendants, and the members of the Settlement

Class.

13. The Court's provisional certification of the Settlement Class as provided herein is

without prejudice to, or waiver of, the rights of any Defendant, including the Corning Defendants,

to contest certification of any other class proposed in *In re Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation*,

Master File No. 12-md-02311. The Court's findings in this Order shall have no effect on the

Court's ruling on any motion to certify any class in the Action or in In re Automotive Parts

Antitrust Litigation, or on the Court's ruling(s) concerning any Defendant's motion; and no party

may cite or refer to the Court's approval of the Settlement Class as persuasive or binding authority

with respect to any motion to certify any such class or any Defendant's motion.

14. The Court approves the establishment of the Settlement Fund under the Agreement

as a qualified settlement fund ("QSF") pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 468B and the

Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder and retains continuing jurisdiction as to any issue

that may arise in connection with the formation and/or administration of the QSF. Settlement Class

Counsel are, in accordance with the Agreement, authorized to expend funds from the QSF for the

payment of the costs of notice, payment of taxes, and settlement administration costs.

15. The litigation against Releasees (as defined in the Agreement with the Corning

Defendants) is stayed except to the extent necessary to effectuate the Agreement.

Date: April 23, 2019

s/Marianne O. Battani

MARIANNE O. BATTANI

United States District Judge

4